ORDINANCE NO.

AN ORDINANCE relating to the vacation of a portion of Charles Swindell Road
Petitioner: Martin R. Murphy and Others V-1653

## STATEMENT OF FACTS

- 1. A petition has been filed requesting vacation of a portion of Charles Swindell Road, hereinafter described.
- 2. The Division of Building and Land Development has studied the proposed road vacation and finds that it would not be in conflict with the principles and purposes of the King County Comprehensive Plan and the specific plans in the vicinity of this proposed vacation.
- 3. The Department of Public Works notified the various utilities serving the area and was advised that an easement was secured by Puget Sound Power and Light Company.
- 4. The right of way was established on September 14, 1914, and was obtained by quit-claim deed. The Department of Public Works found no evidence that the vacation area has ever been opened as a road. A search of the records indicates that the County has spent no funds for its acquisition or maintenance. Right of way would, therefore, be classified "C Class" in accordance with County Ordinance No. 2759.
- 5. The Department of Public Works considers the subject right of way useless as part of the County road system and believes the public would be benefited by the return of this unused area to the public tax rolls.

Due notice was given in the manner provided by law and a hearing was held by the King County Council on the 74W day of Qanuary, 1980

In consideration of the benefits to be derived from the subject vacation, the Council has determined that it is in the best interest of the citizens of King County to grant said petition.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNCIL OF KING COUNTY:

hereby vacates and abandons the portion of Charles Swindell Road, described as follows:

Vacate all that portion of Charles Swindell (County) Road No. 1029, 60 feet in width, deeded to King County,

Page 1 of 2

Washington described in King County Auditor's File No. 890923 and No. 890924 and recorded in Volume 681 of Deeds, pages 206 and 207 respectively, records of King County, Washington; TOGETHER with, any interest King County may have in the remaining portion of Charles Swindell (County) Road No. 1029 as established by King County Order of Establishment passed by King County on September 14, 1914, lying within the Puyallup Indian Reservation Westerly of P.S.H. 1 (SR-5) Southwesterly of Government Lots 1 and 2, Section 32, Township 21 North, Range 4 Hast, W.M. Vacation area contains approximately 56,192 square feet. INTRODUCED AND READ for the first time this 26th day of november, 19 79 PASSED on this 740 day of \_ KING COUNTY, WASHINGTO ATTEST: APPROVED on this // the day of 

Return Address: Clerk of the Council Metropolitan King County Council Room W 1025 King County Courthous Seattle, WA 98104



Please print or type information WASHINGTON STATE RECORDER'S Cover Sheet (RCW 65.04)

65.04)	·
Document Title(s) (or transactions contained therein): (all areas applicable to your document <u>must</u> be filled in)	
1. ORDINANCE 4682 AN ORDINANCE relating to the vacation of a portion of Charles	
Swindell Road Petitioner: Martin R. Murphy and Others V-1653. (Grantee)	
Reference Number(s) of Documents assigned or released:	
Additional reference #'s on page of document	20t
Grantor(s) (Last name, first name, initials)	121
1. King County, Washington	्राह्म स्ट्राह्म स्ट्राह्म
Additional names on page of document.	RECEIVED  ZORZ AUG 19 AHII: 28  KING COUNTY COUNCIL
Grantee(s) (Last name first, then first name and initials)	e = =
1	
2	= 10
Additional names on page of document.	
Legal description (abbreviated: i.e. lot, block, plat or section, township, range)	
Vacate all that portion of Charles Swindell (County)	
Additional legal is on page of document.	<del> </del>
Assessor's Property Tax Parcel/Account Number  Assessor Tax #	not yet assigned
The Auditor/Recorder will rely on the information provided on the form. The staff will no	
verify the accuracy or completeness of the indexing information provided herein.	
I am requesting an emergency nonstandard recording for an additional fee as provided in	
RCW 36.18.010. I understand that the recording processing requirements may cover up	
or otherwise obscure some part of the text of the original document.	
Signature of Requ	uesting Party